G. F 51-98

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

~~191~~ 1897-98

(L R)

File No. 84-97

Serial Nos. 1-10

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-10

All ~~Part~~ B for Jany 1899

SUBJECT.

Appeal of Hanumanthra gouda of Basara-halli, Hoskote taluk regarding the grant of certain Kharab land in the Gottipura Village

W D 1250—GPB—30,000 - 28-1-19

Examined Indexed

The petitioners are the ryots of the Jodi Village of Basavakalli and they have applied for 150 acres out of S.No 52 of (Sirkar) Gottigura Village. In the petition, this land was described as Kharab but from the Deputy Commissioners report and the Revenue records, it appears that Survey No 52 is the only gomal land of the Gottigura Village

It has been found that a portion of S. No 52 measuring 251 acres 37 gunthas is well adapted for sandalwood plantation. The Amildar reported that allowing 70 acres as gomal to the village, the rest (214 a. + 37 gunthas) might be reserved as plantation. The Deputy Commissioner remitted this report to the District Forest Officer for a detailed report after inspection as the sanction of the Govt for the reservation had to be obtained. There is nothing in the records to show how far this matter has progressed since then. The Amildar has also stated that there are 36 acres and 7 gunthas of

Should not have entered himself with base reports.

The reports of J. Q. is preposterous. In question of this kind the responsible head of the Dept who has so many subordinates & consults a Committee would have been a positive gain in shielding the Govt from misrepresentation. [illegible] had traced it history thro the different stages, seen the work by himself, considered the claims of the contending parties & given intelligent decision as to how the work should be carried out & act.

In the first place an important depositor like the Lowest line is entitled to the privileges & the Engineer should not have recommended a decision on the points on which we could have litigated & which are left in dispute by the two reports as to

assessed waste in the Saltpura Village

It is well understood rule – though not expressly laid down in the L.R. Rules that zemindaree waste should be alienated pro-rata with the assessed waste in the village when it is thrown that the assessed waste are encroachments situated in cultivation

The main feature in the case is however the claim of a portion of the land (Survey No. 52/11) for a sandal plantation. Whether it has ever been granted will have to be ascertained in the Forest Department

Yours truly
Esther D.

Rec'd 20.4.97
6 P.M.

20/4/97



Secret 783

This is a general
abstract of landlords
collections.

Call for report
Let it include
Comparative statement
Mr Talbot since
Mr Talbot's general revenue

Read
20/12/97

Orr
Mr 22/12/97
Draft
Read
27/12/97

As the D.J. Comm^rs' report
was not sufficiently full,
he was requested to report
on the following points

(1) The extent of the 2 Maps
(2) The extent of the cultivated
Land, assessed waste & pasture
in each
(3) The no of houses, population,
and no of cattle, sheep & goats

(5) The extent of the proposed land
to be planted with trees
for a period of which the ryots
of Dasanhalli wish to be relieved

Whether the proposal is so likely
to add as to make it's grant
absolutely undesirable

(6) Whether there is any objection
in principle to the whole
or no ~~any~~ portion (minus the
roads and areas proposed to be given
as ground) as a forest.

(7) How the trust has been
conducted, who planted the
trees & what is the nature
of the trees; what duty
the agency employed by the
Villagers & how it was
remunerated. Have the
Villagers any special interest
in regard to the use of
the Village trees?

(8) Having marked off the wooded
portion would it not be
desirable to give the ryots of

Dasarahalli the land they
desire for garden cultivation

The Dy Comm^r was also
requested to send a
sketch of the no. of the village
maps

In reply to the above
the Dy Comm^r forwards
a memo. of the 3rd grand
Asst. Comm^r in which the
Dy Comm^r agrees - on the
several points noted in
the above

The Asst. Comm^r agrees
at the conclusion that
no portion of the gomal
number can be demarcated
from the rest & granted for
garden cultivation

His reasons are that
the present jungle must
be conserved to keep up the
rainfall, that no pathis
of the survey no can be
done to be undertaken
that the jungle prevents
the land ('Pullum) from
being silted up'

A sketch of the land & the
village maps are also sent
with the Record

H 10/8/25

Petition No. 785 and 954 of 13-9-95

Petitioner - Patel Ramanand
Jeevan and others
of Jadi village
Dharwadi - Taluk
Jalak.

Subject

Appeal against the decision of the
Bombay High Court refusing to
the petitioners certain lands of
Jadi village for farming and building
purposes.

The facts of the case :-

On the 6th (OS) 8-95 Patel Ramanand Jeevan
and others of the Jadi village petitioned
the Collector of the Jalak District
against the decision of the Bombay
High Court refusing to the petitioners
certain lands of Jadi village for farming
and building purposes and the Collector
rejected the petition on 14-8-95 the
petitioners appealed to the Bombay
High Court on 13-9-95 the appeal
was rejected by the Bombay High
Court the petitioners again appealed to

(+) The petitioners contend that the Collector of Jalak and the Bombay High Court have failed to appreciate the following facts which were in favour of the petitioners and material for the decision of the case, namely, that the petitioners are agriculturists and have been cultivating the lands in question for a long time and that the lands are necessary for the petitioners for the purposes of agriculture and building houses for residence.

for said Plaintiff for the said Premises - that the
same told not to the Defendants Applica-
-tion for a Perpetual Injunction to the Delivery
being made to them - and that accordingly
they had Possession thereof Applications and
Enquiry that they bought the same
of and for their Benefit and so
accordingly for the Plaintiff and
Entering upon the Premises and
as for the Plaintiff and Application of
Settlement.

2. On 25-8-95 the Auditor pronounced
to the Effect stating that the Applicants
Solicitors Applied for a Perpetual
Injunction No. 52 of Settlement
directing them to Defendants restrained with
a Penalty as stated stating in detail
the Extent of Land and Premises set-
-tled Land Applied in Settlement, the total Extent
of Personal Land with their Value and also the
Extent of Land the Defendants claimed to be
Entitled out of the Land settled for "Sir" Joseph

3. On 27-8-95 Paul Cunningham and others
of Settlement and Defendants Solicitors
filed Affidavit stating that from time immemorial
they had Possession and Enjoyment of the
Joseph Lease Settlement. that the Joseph Ar-
-tured by Mr Thomas Plaintiff, Trustee.

Rapti, Chifare, Bidara, Pachara, Rapti
Jamarind and Mahatu - that the Court of
Ramandli had Cage Jamadar, Prosecutor
Ully Lal Khan, Peon for having stopped
on the Jungle Mr Lewis' Peons - that on
account of the Ramandli people Ully
Ali against Mr Lewis and Mr Lebron
the people Concerned that they had applied for
it in the Sessions Court - that formerly several
had applied for the people but their applications
were rejected - and praying that the people
might be restored as formerly, Enquired
respecting the application stated by
Ramandli -

4 Inspection was conducted in the Chinna
Kulfield into the previous Enclosure into
the direction that the "Jade" Jungle should
be shown Chinna Kulfield and not the
village -

5 On 8-3-95 the villagers appeared
Vencatachellam before the Cutcherry &
the effect that they had received Cage
Seunder Jamadar, Bidara Chifare
Jamarind, Rapti Pagala, Bidara Papiah
and Mahatu in Cutcherry Mr Lewis' Office
filed for legible village of Ramandli
that the Ramandli people, the Chinna Kulam
Oka. & Port - that the people were cited

licence to plant that they would not lose
by them the single objected and from the
objections to that they had planted and
reared above around the single plant to
outlay - that it was suggested that would
and other Indians lands and that the
villages the several villages were exempt
the grant of the single -

6. Jan 22-9-95 the Villagers generally
deposed before the Arbitrators the effect that
as alleged by the Zamindar of Jaffna for the
forbidden were not along the single
that they objected for the Reasons stated
which forms part of Jaffna single
and which was also the villagers
they had not had enough ground both for
cultivation and house building purposes
for their families - that they had not objected
to the Lands of the villages and that the Lands
had not been planted in their respective -

The Arbitrators in submitting the above Report to the Lands Reported the following Effect :- No. 169 23-9-95

That the objected Lands in the Village of
Jaffna 340 - 5 of dry and wet Lands are
under cultivation - the four Pasture Lands
allotted for the village is Cavery 120 - 0
containing 284 - 5 - the Arbitrators
have objected for 100 acres of better land
objected and granted to them - And the
Zamindar of the Village objected the grant -

Reference to Local Inspection Fee payable to the Village of Shelton under Highway Ordinance Local for Board which is installation that their Cattle Pasture - the Assessment Committee Shelton Light Applications received.

8. Re 24-7-25 the Village Attorney explained that Land had the effect that the Petition about the Residents of Pinewood Property were not taken into consideration that on the 23rd the Land Tax Redemption Stamps were returned and filled in the Inspection of Pinewood Property that the Shelton and the Pinewood Road Board upon determining that Fees should be taken and that there were Powers to Alter the Fee with the Sanction of the Local Government Board.

9. The Clerk was asked the Clerk to explain the Cause of Proceeding the Land Contained Large Number of Sand at Rear Account the Fee was explained as that Proceeding the Sanction of the Circular dealing that Petition for Land Contained Sand at Land at Rear should not be Complied with and directed him that Notice Board not Fee to Pay Cost the Fee Should be Inspected. No. 45 of 25-7-25

10. The Clerk was asked the Inspection Report on the Petition about the Residents of the Land in the Inspection of Pinewood Property with the Knowledge of the Petitioners. No. 155 of 2-8-25

11. The Clerk reported that Pinewood Property Road Application for Sanction of the Land where the Land Tax were Sold - that there would be Difficulties and Objections and also Land Owning Clause - that the Post Office Received No. 254 of 20-10-25

of the village of Postlynch - that the
Road Labourers file for perusal - that Mr
Hall village applied to the District Board
Land - that it was agreed by the
village of Postlynch Labourers petitions
the Local Government Authorities under the
Forest Depart. objecting to the Grant of the Land
and stating that it would be injurious to
Peat & Wood cutting on the Mountain that
Land should not be given till after by the
District Forest officer.

15. 1891 - 96 The Auditor and Labourers
Representatives the following Report -

"The Land applied for is a Forest Land and
is 284 - 3 - 21 in Extent - This is the only Forest
Land applied for the village of Postlynch &
applied Land in the village - the Land
in question is just below the Hollies Wood
Land and a Portion of Land adjoining the Land
the applicants pay for Land for pasturage
Value and is that part of the Mountain Land
Grass are fenced for between the village of
adjoining for Peat Cutting, there are many
Families applied for more than enough
for pasturage in the Land in the
Near village have all been entitled and they
are all poor Specially to pay Rent &
Districts the Land - the village of Postlynch
very Poor and Land is very scarce
Peat, the Land with the Grass is part
Left Rental Land and the village part

No. 6858/8-

No. 11 of 7-6-96

the Conservator of Forests will be competent to declare any portion of the forest will be exempted from the operation of the Act. It will be open to the owner only thereafter to apply to the Provincial Government to withdraw such declaration. The application of the owner shall be rejected."

(4) "Sec. 52 of the principal Act is hereby amended by adding thereto the following sub-section:

(3) "Notwithstanding anything contained in this section, the Conservator of Forests may, with the approval of the Provincial Government, declare any portion of the forest not to be a reserved forest. Whenever any portion of the forest is declared not to be a reserved forest, the Conservator of Forests shall forthwith publish a notification to that effect in the Official Gazette."

(5) "The Conservator of Forests may, subject to such conditions as may be prescribed, delegate any of his powers under this Act to any Forest Officer subordinate to him, and any Forest Officer so empowered shall be deemed to be a Forest Officer for the purposes of the Act."

(6) "Whereas the Provincial Government has decided that the forests specified in the Schedule to this Act should be reserved forests, it is hereby declared that the said forests are reserved forests."

20 The above Act was duly communicated to the Deputy Commissioner of the District of Sundargarh vide this office letter No. 213 Jan. 6-36 and the Tahsildars of Rajgangpur, Bonai, Kutra & Lahunipara were requested for the same. The said Act was published for

20-6-36

No. 267/44-12-20

	No.	Salut	Cyklant
Forest land	52	284-37	
Existing landmarks (all landmarks included)		170-21	424-4-2
Existing houses	63, 63, 14 all	28-39	61-12-2

No. of cattle - No. Kaj - 100
Lendl - 60
Total 220.

Exist of forest allowable to the forest land - about 70 acres - balance remaining.

legislature.

2. The Director of Forests to issue a certificate to the villagers granted for what state that the petitioners previous applications for the jungle called Hopes respected - that the jungle is unreasonable - that the land adjoining State that it is not reserved for them - that the jungle land is open - that a village shall have sufficient land for the place required by the jungle that may be given to them that not more than 5 acres be included

Note. Save as herein otherwise provided for the jungles in the Forest Land the Forest Rules and the Executive Order for Cultivation

Detailed plans of lands to be included for cultivation to be prepared, plans to be submitted to the Forest Officer and the Forest Officer to report to the Collector for orders. The Collector to report No 698 dt 6-6-27 to the Commissioner Northern No. 52 dt 8-7-27.

22. The 18-9-27 petitioners represented that the land that they cultivated by the Forest Department should be given to them for cultivation:-

(1) that petitioners have applied for the Forest Land.

(2) that they have not received land for cultivation and land for pasture in their village.

(3). That the applicant sold forty acres of Khasal land

(4). That in addition to the land applied for there were 100 acres of Khasal land which could be easily used as pasture land for the [illegible] village cattle.

(5). That the forest officer applied for additional land for the reasons mentioned in his report. In addition to the village common pasture.

(6). That the land in question does not contain any valuable timber but contains only a few Sandal trees. That for the reasons mentioned the forest officer recommended the grant of the land and that the Dy. Commr agreed in toto.

23. The Dy. Comr. forwarded the above petition with his report. Obtained the papers from the District Forest officer and the Tahsildar. The case is put up with the report called for.

No. 1111 of 3-1-31

No. 837 of 6-2-31

Note. The District Forest officer and the Tahsildar's reports were received. The effect that land here are Sandal trees only in small patches of the village [illegible] that the land in question is not suitable for cultivation and that the petitioner applied for the land for pasture purposes for the village cattle only is not disputed.

No. 604 of 22-3-31

24. The post. Marriage that the report of the Dy. Comr. was forwarded by calling for the remarks of the Collector and forwarded to the following points

No. 1056 / 1931 of 2-4-31

(1) Shall it be lawful for the Village to
Extend the Limits and Bounds of the
said Forest in such manner as they
shall think proper, and to make
Roads in such and the same Forest
for the better getting of the Timber
shall have effect for ten Years?

(2) Shall the Inhabitants of the said
Village have Liberty to Cut
Timber in the Forest for the Use
of their Houses only, or to Sell
the same?

(3) Shall any Person who shall
Cut Timber in the said Forest
contrary to the Rules and Orders
to be made by the Village forfeit
for every Offence the Sum of
Forty Shillings?

(4) Shall the Inhabitants of the said
Village be Exempted from Serving
on Juries at the Assizes and Sessions
of the Peace for the County of
Surrey?

(5) Shall the Inhabitants of the said
Village have the Privilege of
Voting for Members to serve in
Parliament?

(6) Shall the Inhabitants of the said
Village have any other Privileges
or Powers than what are
Granted to other Villages?

(7) Shall the Inhabitants of the said
Village be Exempted from Serving
the Office of Constable, Overseer
of the Poor, Surveyor of the
Highways, or Headborough?

demanded a Conquest Court held and Leave to
hold Village Court & Court Leet and Court Baron
for Precincts in it Court of Pattens Court
Leet, Court Baron, Headboro and
Manor produce?

(5) Have you held Court Baron for the Manor and
Precincts of the Village in addition to the Court
Leet & Court Baron? If so state the Date of
the Court Baron held for the Manor for passing
Admittances - Have any Alienations of the
Copyhold Estates been duly presented at
the Court Leet & Court Baron

25 - The Jurors did not know whether there
were any Encroachments made on the Waste
of the Manor since the Date of the
Commission

No - Nil - Nil - Nil

No - Nil - Nil - Nil

26 - Have you made Presentments of Nuisances
Purprestures and Encroachments

No - Nil - Nil - Nil

27 - Do you present that the following Persons
have encroached upon the Waste of the
Manor by erecting Cottages - Stables
&c. or otherwise by Inclosing Parts thereof
& if so state the Names of the Persons
& the Nature of the Encroachments

Samuel Stevens, Joseph Jones,
Richard Lewis, Benjamin Jones,
Isaac Jones, Isaac Jones, Joseph
Jones, Joseph Jones, Benjamin
Stevens, Samuel Jones, Isaac Jones

Have any Trees been cut down by the Tenants
to whom the Forest Underwoods belong
or by any other Persons - If so state
the Names of the Persons & the Number
of Trees cut down by each - Have any
Trees been cut down by the Tenants
of the Manor or by any other Persons
for the Purpose of repairing any Cottages
Outbuildings or Fences belonging to any
Messuages Cottages or Tenements within
the Manor - If so state the Names of
the Persons & the Number of Trees
cut down by each - Have any Trees been
cut down by any Persons for the Purpose
of selling the same - If so state the
Names of the Persons & the Number
of Trees cut down by each

to the Excellent Black Horse Inches - The
Blue Coat Boys are not allowed to return
to the Coat Room Parade Marshall
being given the Word to march off the
Ground to their proper Quarters for Exercise -
After this Salute the Pupils are dismissed
and begin their Evening Recreations -
28. Saturday Examination for Writing & Arithmetic
as before :-
The Time for Arithmetic Village The
Examination Class for Writing at
Church - All the Church Time Pupils out
in Readiness - Time 2 or 3 Hours - Church Village -
Time 2 or 3 Hours - Church Village - Time 2
The Remainder of the Day Holiday -
Sunday 29th Sermon in the Village - The
Examination Class for Writing at
Church - All the Church Time Pupils out
in Readiness - Time 2 or 3 Hours - Church Village -
Time 2 or 3 Hours - Church Village - Time 2
The Remainder of the Day Holiday -
Monday 30th Sermon in the Village - The
Examination Class for Writing at
Church - All the Church Time Pupils out
in Readiness - Time 2 or 3 Hours - Church Village -
Time 2 or 3 Hours - Church Village - Time 2
The Remainder of the Day Holiday -
Tuesday 31st Sermon in the Village - The
Examination Class for Writing at
Church - All the Church Time Pupils out
in Readiness - Time 2 or 3 Hours - Church Village -
Time 2 or 3 Hours - Church Village - Time 2
The Remainder of the Day Holiday -

Particulars	Collection	Assessable
	£. s	£. s.
1. Select. [illegible]		
cultivation in mile	552 - 2p	161 - 50
2. Select [illegible]		
Cultivation	333 - 10	97 - 10
3. Depasturable	28 - 24	"
4. Forest	214 - 57	64 - 22
5. Horses	200	60
6. Population	1100	330
7. Cattle	1100	165
8. Sheep	1100	77
9. Goats	1100	132

Rec. 1069 of 3-6-95

Rec. the Statement of land forming the Electoral Roll for Portion of Parish of Kaipara situated in the County of Waitemata and Land District of Auckland for the purpose of the Election of a Member of the House of Representatives for the said District. Such Statement is dated the 3rd day of June 1895 and is signed by Thomas Henry Hall Registrar of Electors for the said District. The Statement contains the following particulars of the Electoral Roll for the said Portion of Parish of Kaipara.

1st. The number of persons whose names are entered on the Roll for the said Portion of Parish of Kaipara as Electors for the said District is 161.

2nd. The number of persons whose names are entered on the Roll for the said Portion of Parish of Kaipara as Electors for the said District and who are Freeholders of the said Portion of Parish of Kaipara is 97.

3rd. The number of persons whose names are entered on the Roll for the said Portion of Parish of Kaipara as Electors for the said District and who are Householders of the said Portion of Parish of Kaipara is 64.

4th. The number of persons whose names are entered on the Roll for the said Portion of Parish of Kaipara as Electors for the said District and who are Freeholders and Householders of the said Portion of Parish of Kaipara is 33.

5th. The number of persons whose names are entered on the Roll for the said Portion of Parish of Kaipara as Electors for the said District and who are Occupiers of Land of the said Portion of Parish of Kaipara of the annual value of £10 or upwards is 6.

6th. The number of persons whose names are entered on the Roll for the said Portion of Parish of Kaipara as Electors for the said District and who are Occupiers of Land of the said Portion of Parish of Kaipara of the annual value of £50 or upwards is 3.

7th. The number of persons whose names are entered on the Roll for the said Portion of Parish of Kaipara as Electors for the said District and who are Occupiers of Land of the said Portion of Parish of Kaipara of the annual value of £100 or upwards is 1.

8th. The number of persons whose names are entered on the Roll for the said Portion of Parish of Kaipara as Electors for the said District and who are Occupiers of Land of the said Portion of Parish of Kaipara of the annual value of £150 or upwards is [illegible]

9th. The number of persons whose names are entered on the Roll for the said Portion of Parish of Kaipara as Electors for the said District and who are Occupiers of Land of the said Portion of Parish of Kaipara of the annual value of £200 or upwards is [illegible]

10th. The number of persons whose names are entered on the Roll for the said Portion of Parish of Kaipara as Electors for the said District and who are Occupiers of Land of the said Portion of Parish of Kaipara of the annual value of £300 or upwards is [illegible]

11th. The number of persons whose names are entered on the Roll for the said Portion of Parish of Kaipara as Electors for the said District and who are Occupiers of Land of the said Portion of Parish of Kaipara of the annual value of £400 or upwards is [illegible]

12th. The number of persons whose names are entered on the Roll for the said Portion of Parish of Kaipara as Electors for the said District and who are Occupiers of Land of the said Portion of Parish of Kaipara of the annual value of £500 or upwards is [illegible]

13th. The number of persons whose names are entered on the Roll for the said Portion of Parish of Kaipara as Electors for the said District and who are Occupiers of Land of the said Portion of Parish of Kaipara of the annual value of £600 or upwards is [illegible]

14th. The number of persons whose names are entered on the Roll for the said Portion of Parish of Kaipara as Electors for the said District and who are Occupiers of Land of the said Portion of Parish of Kaipara of the annual value of £700 or upwards is [illegible]

15th. The number of persons whose names are entered on the Roll for the said Portion of Parish of Kaipara as Electors for the said District and who are Occupiers of Land of the said Portion of Parish of Kaipara of the annual value of £800 or upwards is [illegible]

16th. The number of persons whose names are entered on the Roll for the said Portion of Parish of Kaipara as Electors for the said District and who are Occupiers of Land of the said Portion of Parish of Kaipara of the annual value of £900 or upwards is [illegible]

17th. The number of persons whose names are entered on the Roll for the said Portion of Parish of Kaipara as Electors for the said District and who are Occupiers of Land of the said Portion of Parish of Kaipara of the annual value of £1000 or upwards is [illegible]

There are two stations principally for the purpose of
Learning Agriculture only, the first is at the
Central Farm but there are two others
proper - Each of these stations has
given to the Province a Model Farm
of 100 acres, and a Superintendent
& Foreman with Laborers and Teamsters
Each Model Farm has a Dwelling House
Barn and Stables, Sheds &c. &c.
There is not yet full provision made
for Practical Instruction. There are large Grounds
appropriated for the Botanic Garden but
Fencing &c. &c. There are also Orchards &c. &c.
Additional to Chemical and Mineralogical Lectures
Course is Practical Farming - The Agricultural
Lectures Commenced - The Experimental Establish-
ment is incomplete for the purpose - The
Village of Ste Anne in addition to other matters
for an Agricultural Education, may be
by some considered objectionable, being
so near the Capital - The Institutions could not
be more favorably - The Capital affords many
facilities for Instruction, which are not
to be had elsewhere - The Institutions
are Provincial - Their Management
is Local - The Central Farm is
under the Superintendence of the Minister
of Agriculture - The Model Farms are
under the Superintendence of Boards
appointed by the Governor in Council
Each Board consists of 5 Members
Each Board has a Secretary - The Boards
meet Monthly - The Boards have
the selection of Pupils - The Pupils
are to be of the age of 16 years
and upwards - There is no Pupil
at present in the Central Farm
There are 12 Pupils at Ste Anne
There are 12 Pupils at Nappan
There are 12 Pupils at Truro
There are 12 Pupils at Agassiz
There are 12 Pupils at Brandon
There are 12 Pupils at Indian Head
There are 12 Pupils at Prince Albert
There are 12 Pupils at Battleford
There are 12 Pupils at Edmonton
There are 12 Pupils at Calgary
There are 12 Pupils at Medicine Hat
There are 12 Pupils at Regina
There are 12 Pupils at Moosomin
There are 12 Pupils at Portage la Prairie
There are 12 Pupils at Brandon
There are 12 Pupils at Indian Head
There are 12 Pupils at Prince Albert
There are 12 Pupils at Battleford
There are 12 Pupils at Edmonton
There are 12 Pupils at Calgary
There are 12 Pupils at Medicine Hat
There are 12 Pupils at Regina
There are 12 Pupils at Moosomin
There are 12 Pupils at Portage la Prairie

no room for trees to grow owing to the growth of thorny creepers into bushes. These creepers should be removed at a small village and a trench excavated from East to West at the edge of the colored portion marking off the jungle from the grazing land to ensure facilitate the growth of trees. It would be convenient for the forest to grow and for the ryots to watch it if such trenches and aloe hedges are excavated and planted wherever necessary both at the boundary of Jodi Dasarahalli and at the bed of the kaval. Everything would be known if the land was personally inspected.

The land required for the State within the limits of the Jodi village of Dasarahalli is not in the Kaval and that it ~~should~~ may be obtained from the Survey Department.

30. The Col. Asst. Comr. after inspecting the land personally submitted the evidence proceedings to the Dy. Comr. together with the map ⊕ and signatures lists with his explanatory memorandum, which is appended to the Report, expressing his views about the land and stating that it is undesirable to give the land in the manner for which the Inamdars have ~~applied for by the petitioners~~ to the ryots of Dasarahalli for grazing cultivation or other purposes. — No. 1050 of 29-6-98

⊕ (1). List marked A showing persons in the Jodi village of Dasarahalli who do not pay any kandayam.

(2) Do - marked B of lands in the village owned by the above villagers in other villages.

31. The Dy. Comr. agreeing with the views of the Col. Asst. Comr. sent his endorsement No. 94 of 2-7-98 to the above Inamdar and stated with reference to the [illegible] of part that the report is [illegible]: - — No. 94 of 2-7-98

"This is not a matter disposed of by me; but my predecessor. On the appeal of the party dissatisfied with this decision

declining to fell the trees in question, I was required to read the record of the evidence taken thereon. Accordingly I submitted the record to the Conservator's report (as required) in my favour & while I could not find that the Conservator had in any way exceeded his powers in the matter, I could not find that the evidence adduced by the petitioners was sufficient to warrant me in saying that any interference with the rights of the petitioners was necessary in the interests of forestry & I therefore refused the petitioners' application.

I did not feel any hesitation in this case. I should not, because I was required to do so and also because I was sure that the Conservator had done all that he could to protect the interests of forestry & that the petitioners had failed to establish their case.

RK
14/9/95.

The point for orders is whether any portion of Sonal's no 5-2 of 4/9 can or may be granted for cultivation consistently (a) with the forest interests

Y.S.R.(b) with the usual requirements of the rules of petition (c) [illegible]

As regards (a), the Dy Comm^r Mr V N Narasimmaiyya appears to have pardhis taken reasons to grant any pardhis for subtraction before obtaining the opinion of the DI Forest Officer

In his yadi dt 22/3/98 the DI Forest Officer gives out his opinion as adverse to the grant of any pardhis of the land for subtraction but it is not clear who the DI Forest Officer is, the Superintendent Levy Range Mysore It is also not clear whether the opinion was formed after a local

after personal inspection

The Dy Comm^r should ascertain the DI Forest Officer personally & clearly record whether such inspection was made.

Inspection on the morning of
29.9.98

Inspection on the morning of
Paras 225 of Appendix
C of the L R Rules (applying
to the grant of land for
coffee cultivation) an inspection
by a professional Officer
would seem to be desirable
before a darkhast can be
rejected on the ground
that such a grant
would be prejudicial to
the best revenue of
Soot

As regards (b) it appears
that there will still
remain 187 - 37 a 9 after
100 acres are granted for
cultivation. There are
204 Cattle (proper) in the
village & the balance
thus left would seem

Cette
Ty 30/9/98

Forwarding plan
Re Inspection on
is a request for Permission
the part of Government
and Village Tenures
Inspector - It will be a fairly
troublesome
cultivation - Area
Zeal Tek of Dharwar
averaging - is accepted
Instructions as to
Mr Commissioner

Ty 29.9.98

9929

Can no Inspection
may be made by
the Asst Collr (or
Comdg in Camp) /a
Jana 7 Annum as
to whether on the
merits of the case
No 52 should be
passed as proposed
by the Dharwar

Asst Collr
29-9-98

Supt & 2nd Comdg
Ty 27/9/98

Rec'd the
29-9-98 Sandhurst Bell
Commanding Bombay

the sample, on
freezing, hair/wig

In this connection reference
is invited to SO no 2932-33
RF-9-96
S 15/9/96 - to no 2525-6
R 541

x ... which lay down the principles regulating the land & rural land rehabilitation

In this case however the local officers ... have ... in liaison ... & the Land & Natural Resources Lands without ... all interests are ... advised in SO no 11086 of 21/5/98
Pol-14/8

x There is no organized waste in Dar es Salaam at present. Even organized waste in Sokoine

M

Form 161 ... to be deemed after the ... of the ... Rules ... Form shall ... Act

26/6/98

M 26/6/98
Part ... the ... in the
Sub-... of the ... Act
... Trading ...

Precis continued -

No. 2764/8.331 of 5-10-24

With reference to the 2nd Conservator's order the records were sent to the Conservator of Forests with a request that he would favor us with his opinion about the reservation of Survey No. 52 of Poltejura village applied for cultivation and house building purposes as a forest.

No. 1621/100 of 28-10-24.

In reply the above officer states: "I have no hesitation in advising that the decision of the Dy. Conservator of Forests, Sattari Range, be upheld and no portion of Survey No. 52 of Poltejura village be given to the Dasarahalli villagers for garden cultivation, but a few acres not exceeding 10 might be granted as house sites if absolutely necessary from the portion immediately adjoining the Dasarahalli village boundary."

HK
5/11/24

Vide orders on
(Conf. Ltr. No. 1621 dt.
19 Conf. Ltr. No. 3373
7/11/24 A.C.

Addressed to the Dewan

189

No. 783

Name of Petitioner Hanumanthappa (Patel) and others

Village Devarakalli (Jodi)

Taluk Hoskote

Bangalore

Date of Petition - 9 - 97

Date of Receipt 16 - 9 - 97

No. of Enclosure Nil

ABSTRACT.

Appeal against the order Nº 14 dy 7-6-96 of the Dy Comm Bangalore Distt confirmed to petrs by means of Taluk Sudr Nº 213 dy 20-6-96, declining to grant a portion of land out of the Kharab lands of the following Govt village of Gottipura to petrs for Baga-yat cultivation at the rate fixed for garden lands and also a portion of land for building purpose. The Taluk Sudr Nº 213 dy 20-6-9 is as follows:—

Your petrs to the praye-
-for

(1) Stamps
(2) Vakalat &c for
Copy of the Order of Government of the Resolution of 20-6-96 is of 7-6-96

8/9/97

x) Petr II to examine witnesses on the file

24-9-97

1) Stamps
2) Out of time by about 1 year — a delay or blame by petr to be due & there having been sufficient the Rs shown in the Memo enclosed
3) Appeal against Govt Order refusing grant of lands for cultivation & building purposes
4) Not a case for Revision

Petr should file a memo of appeal (there are about 8 petrs) & annexe all in such a case thereafter hearing the grounds of appeal decide the matter

Kolar 17/10/97

1553

Submitted

24-9-97

Petr II to examine witnesses on the file

24-9-97

Petr II to examine witnesses on the file

25/9/97

24-9-97

Petr II to examine witnesses on the file

25/9/97

for the grant of a portion of land out of S. No 52 of the Govt: village of Gottipura on the ground that there is not enough land for cultivation and for building purposes in your Govt village of Dasandahalli.

The ryots of Gottipura object to the land being granted to you. The Deputy Commr: in his order No 14 of 7-6-96 states that the land could not be granted to you, that a portion of it should be kept apart as pasture land for the ryots of Gottipura village and that the remaining portion should be kept as Forest Reserve. So the land applied for could not be granted to you.

The grounds of appeal are as follows:—

(1). That petrs being Vigilars are professional garden cultivators
(2). That they have not enough land for cultivation and building purposes in their own village.
(3) That they applied only for 100 acres of kharab land.
(4). That in addition to the land applied for there were 200 acres of kharab land, which could be easily used as pasture land for the Gottipura village cattle.
(5). That the grant of the land applied for to them would give an annual revenue of about Rs 500 to Govt, in addition to the facility afforded for building a new village.
(6) That the land in question does not contain any thick jungle, but only contains a few sandalwood plants. That on the ground the Amildar recommended the grant of the land.
(7) and That the Dy Commr's order is therefore unjust.

Pray that the Dy Commrs order may be cancelled and that the land applied for may be granted to them at the rate fixed for Bagayat lands, if necessary by means of public auction, to facilitate their cultivation and building purposes.

Enclosure

Petition Dated 20-7-97

E R
5/9/97

ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ ಸಭೆ ನಡೆಯಿತು.

ಸಭೆಯ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷತೆಯನ್ನು ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಸರೋಜಾ ಪಾಟೀಲ ವಹಿಸಿದ್ದರು. ಸಭೆಯ ಪ್ರಾರಂಭದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿ ಶ್ರೀ ಮಲ್ಲಿಕಾರ್ಜುನ ಹಿರೇಮಠ ಅವರು ಕಳೆದ ಸಭೆಯ ನಡವಳಿಕೆಗಳನ್ನು ಓದಿ ಹೇಳಿದರು. ಅವುಗಳನ್ನು ಸಭೆಯು ಒಪ್ಪಿಗೆ ನೀಡಿತು. ನಂತರ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಯವರು ಕಳೆದ ಸಭೆಯಿಂದ ಈ ಸಭೆಯವರೆಗೆ ಆಗಿರುವ ಕೆಲಸಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ವರದಿ ಮಂಡಿಸಿದರು. ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು ಅನುಮತಿ ನೀಡಿದ ಮೇರೆಗೆ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಚರ್ಚೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಭಾಗವಹಿಸಿದರು.

ಸದಸ್ಯ ಶ್ರೀ ಬಸವರಾಜ ಕಲ್ಲೂರ ಅವರು ಮಾತನಾಡಿ, ಕಳೆದ ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಚರ್ಚಿಸಿದ್ದ ಕುಡಿಯುವ ನೀರಿನ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆ ಇನ್ನೂ ಬಗೆಹರಿದಿಲ್ಲ. ಕೆಲವು ಕಡೆ ಕೊಳವೆ ಬಾವಿಗಳು ಕೆಟ್ಟು ನಿಂತಿವೆ. ಅವುಗಳನ್ನು ಶೀಘ್ರವಾಗಿ ದುರಸ್ತಿ ಮಾಡಿಸಬೇಕು ಎಂದು ಒತ್ತಾಯಿಸಿದರು. ಸದಸ್ಯೆ ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಿ ಬಾಯಿ ಅವರು ಬೀದಿ ದೀಪಗಳ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಪ್ರಸ್ತಾಪಿಸಿದರು.

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು ಮಾತನಾಡಿ, ಕುಡಿಯುವ ನೀರಿನ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬಗೆಹರಿಸಲು ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗುವುದು. ಕೆಟ್ಟು ನಿಂತಿರುವ ಕೊಳವೆ ಬಾವಿಗಳ ದುರಸ್ತಿಗೆ ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಬೀದಿ ದೀಪಗಳ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಸಹ ಶೀಘ್ರವಾಗಿ ಬಗೆಹರಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು ಎಂದು ಭರವಸೆ ನೀಡಿದರು. ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಇನ್ನಿತರ ವಿಷಯಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಚರ್ಚೆ ನಡೆಯಿತು. ಕೊನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಯವರು ವಂದನಾರ್ಪಣೆ ಮಾಡಿದರು.

Reference
BK 13/10/11

783 of 97 and connected papers

Subsidiary
BK
14-10-97

L R 84-97-2

1897 12

No. 934

Name of Petitioner Patel Hanumanta grandson of the late

Village Dasarahalli

Taluk Hoskote

District Bangalore

Date of Arzi

Date of Receipt 11—10—97

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure 3

ABSTRACT.

In pursuance of this office Sub= No= 783 of 23-9-97 calling on them to explain the delay of 1 year and 3 months in submitting the petn= No= 783, they stated that they again petitioned the D/Pound= on 23-10-96, and received the ~~two~~ ~~Sanad~~ order to the effect that, in accordance with their wishes the land would be given them for Kandayam i= that they were also given Sub= No= 85/290 of 7-11-96 stating

that trumpets had been sent to the Auildar ;- & that they have appealed to this Office now as they did not receive any order from the Dy Comm- although they waited for so many days in the interval.

Enclosures

1. Ltr to Asst Head Writer Cant No 783
2. Jalukh Cant No 213, dt 20-6-96
3. Dft Cant No $\frac{85}{290}$, dt 7-11-'96. X

12/10/97

X This was very probably a routine enquiry without reference to the previous ltrs

No. 934

Received 11/10/97

References

934-R
11-10-97

Vet 12
795

4-1-98

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Appeal Petition
dered No. 7534/934 dated 13-9-
received at the Dewan's Office from
Hanumanta Gowda a
ahara of Savanelli, a
taluk, appealing against
order of the Deputy Com
Bangalore District, ref
to grant to the petitioners
Minor Lands of the Cope a
village for Betel cultiva
and building purposes.

L.R.
84-97-3

is forwarded, together with its enclosure, to the Deputy Commissioner of the Bangalore for report.

After this and on the 30th
the petition

BANGALORE,

December 1898

11-4-98

Rest Instt

11/4/98

Submitted

12.4.98

2h. 84-91

Sdy

From The Assistant Commissioner of the Sawantwadi Division

To The Secretary to the Government of Bombay Revenue Department

Dated 5th Nov 1898

Despatched

Received

General No. 5130

No.

Enclosure No. 1 File

With Secretary's memo No. 796 of the 2nd January 1899

The petrs are the ryots of the Jodi Village of Dasarawadi & they have applied for 1 or 2 acres out of the No 52 of (Sirkar) Gothpura Village. In the petn, this Land is described as 'Khanal' but from the Dy Comm's report & the Vernacular record, it appears that No 52 is the only Land of Gothpura Village (Serial No 1 in the Register)

It has been found that a portion (measuring 2 84 acres & 37 guntas) of No 52 is well wooded (now) forming a Sandal wood Plantation. The Sandal wood Plantation Committee reported that although the land is not included in the Village Forest (2/14 a & 379) might be reserved as a plantation. The Dy Comr remitted this report to the Forest Officer for a detailed report after inspection as the Sandal wood Plantation Committee has no power to interfere with the Forests. The Forest Officer has not yet submitted his report. The petrs are therefore requested to wait for the reservation being effected. There is nothing to be objected in the Records to show how far this petition has prospered since then (Vide Para 3) the petitioner has also stated that there are 36 acres & 7 guntas of

Petitioner
9-4-98

Read 11/4

Bangalore District
Dy. Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 6th August 1898.

D. No. 1307/

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore
General and Revenue Depts.

Sir,

With reference to your Official memo No. Rct 795 of the 3rd January 1898, forwarding for report a petition from Hanumanta Gowda and others of Dasarhalli in the Hoskote Taluk praying for the grant to them of certain lands of the Gottipura village, I have the honor to state as follows.

On 2nd August 1895 a petition was received from certain ryots residing in the said Jodi Dasara halli village, in which they stated that there were no unoccupied lands in the village available for cultivation and that further they had no houses to live in, and prayed for the grant of about 200 acres of land out of Survey No. 52 of the

Government village of Gottipura

A counter petition was received from certain ryots of the latter (Gottipura) village objecting to the grant of the land, on the ground that the land was part of the gomal land of their village and that there was a very large number of sandalwood and other valuable trees on it. After some correspondence on the subject, the late Deputy Commissioner inspected the locality and on the 9th June 1896 decided that the land should not be given over for cultivation, but a portion where there were a few trees should be utilised as the village gomal and the remainder reserved for a sandalwood plantation. It is against this order that the present appeal has been preferred.

3. The vernacular papers relating to the subject are herewith submitted together with the petition under report.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

Dy. Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

(5.)

Proceedings of the Court of Wards

Read:-

Petition No 703 dated 13-9-97, of Hanumanthaiya and others of Devarahalli Jodi village in the Hoskote taluk, appealing against the order of the Dy Comr, Bangalore Dt, refusing to grant to petrs a portion of S No 52 of the neighbouring Court village of Gottipura ~~applied for~~ for garden cultivation and building sites

2 Letter No 1307 dated 6.6.98, from the Dy Comr Bangalore Dt, reporting with reference to the above that the portion of the land reported to containing only a few trees has been assigned as village grazing and the rest reserved for a Sandalwood plantation

Order thereon

Let the file be forwarded to the Conservator of Forests

H 19-7-98

S.R. 84-97

Sd/-

Sd/- L. Rice

The Hon'ble Mr. Edwards desires that this might be submitted to him before the order is issued as he might like to make a few verbal observations before he has to go elsewhere today

M 2/5/98

This is not an urgent matter & may be done when Mr Rice returns.

The opinion of the Conservator of Forests would be useful but does not seem to have been obtained

2/5/98

The draft may go as corrected.

Copies of paras from the one marked in red pencil to the Conservator of Forests also.

H 18-5-98

P

Order Memo Re 11086 Ca 1/5/98
E. 4.18 23

Court regret to observe that the report of the Commissioner is perfunctory. In questions of this kind the responsible head of the Dept who has so many interests to consult and conciliate should have a great help to Court in deciding the question now before it, if, ~~without confining himself to giving the bare outlines of the case~~, he had traced its history through the different stages, seen the locality himself, considered the claims of the contending parties and given an intelligent opinion as to how he would wish the Court to act.

In the first place in an important dispute like the present there is no sketch of the villages and

Should not have contented himself with a bare report as

stet

and the land in dispute
to enable Court to arrive
at a decision. The points
on which Court would
have liked to be en-
lightened by the Dy Comr
are.

(1) what are the extent of
the two villages, the extent
of the cultivated land and
assessed waste and gomal
in each the No of houses,
population and No of cattle
sheep and goats in each

(2) And the extent of the gomal
land off which the royals
of Sirsahalli have applied for
a portion for cultivation.

(3) A sketch showing the
fully wooded portion and
sparsely wooded portion and
the portion which is bare of trees. If
there is a trench or hedge
marking off the wooded
portion from the unwooded
portion where is the land
applied

applied for situated and is it so thickly wooded as to make its ground forest situation absolutely unde- sirable, who planted the trees in the village jungle and which is the nature of the trees

(31) Do there any objection in reserving the whole of the 170 minus the 70 and add acres proposed to the queen for grant, as a state forest. How has it been conserved, what was the agency employed by the villages and how was it remunerated. Have the villagers had any special privileges in regard to the produce of the village forest beyond right of grazing.

(32) would the Dy Comr reserve the forest for the use of the villagers and would they conserve it. Would it not then be de- sirable to dispense with

with the costly agency
of the Forest Dept. for
conservancy purposes,
demarcate a compact
block and leave it to
the villagers to look after
and give them a few
privileges in it short of
cutting down trees, say to
the brushwood, dead wood,
and minor produce.

5. Having marked off
the wooded portion and
given it to the villagers in
addition to the gomal is
would it not be easy to
give the ryots of Basavakalli
the land they apply for
for garden cultivation.

These and other
questions will be carefully considered and
a full report submitted
with a sketch. ~~By the~~
~~By Conservator, the~~

Revenue

Revenue councillor
Eastern division
would be glad to go
down to the village
and see the place
himself

Rev. Cr.
Eastern
Div.
26.4.98 for scrutiny

29/4/98

To. the Deputy Comr
Bangalore Dt
with records

R. [illegible] 21.5.98
F. 1419 25

+ 23.5.98

23/5/98

REVENUE DEPA
Gl. No. 657
UL 26 1898
Dep 3 a. 189
DEWAN'S OFFICE

From The Commissioner Bangalore District.

To The Secretary to the Govt of Mysore in Legislative Depts.

Dated 22nd July 1898
Despatched 23rd
Received

General No.
No. 94

Enclosure No. 1 folio

Postal Cover No. 1086
Rs. as 8
dt 21st June 1898

Letter forwarding information regarding the reasons for the petitions of Hanumanthappa & others of Lodigaranahalli in the Kolar Taluk.

Petitioners M
26/7/98

Subd to Rev C.V. [illegible] with note attached to the petn
M 10/8/98

Subd to Rev C.V.
M 2/9/98

Rec'd 7 pm 10/8/98

220

9664

This requires a copy from of the proceedings. Please have it prepared & sent as early as possible as the matter is pressing for some time.

In submitting the papers the Govt. requires to be clearly informed as to the exact position of the Lodigaranahalli tank, whether it is an old tank or a new one.

2.9.98

Mr Kaufmann 5/9/98

Dy Comm. for Bangalore 13-9-98
Sub-Div. Officer Kolar 14-9-98

M 15/9/98

Subd to 1st Councillor with papers
M 26/9/98

Rec'd 27/7

Rep. returned
M 28/7/98

Subd
M 30/7/98

Subd
M 19-9-98

Ordered as above.
26/9/98

D. No. 94

Ex. 1/16

Mr. 22nd July.

To the Secretary to the Government
of Mysore.
General and Revenue Depts.

Sir,

With reference to Government order No. 2086 of the 21st May 1898, Rev. No. 18 stating that my report on the petition of Hanamantégowda and others of the Jodi village of Basavahalli in the Hoskote Taluk was peremptory and that I, as the responsible head of the District having so many interests to consult and conciliate, should not have contented myself with a bare report, but should have seen the locality in question and given an intelligent opinion as to how I would wish the Government to act, I beg to submit as follows:-

2. This is not a matter disposed of by me, but by my predecessor. On the appeal of the party dissatisfied with his decision declining to give the land in question, I was required to send the record of

the case with a report thereon.
Accordingly, I submitted the
record together with such report
(or resume) as my humble ability
enabled me to draw up. I did
not visit the locality, as I had only
been asked to send the record
with a report, and as my predecessor
had seen the locality in company
with all the parties and recorded
an order, in extenso, in his own hand
in English fully setting forth his
views and opinions and his
reasons for rejecting the application
of the petitioners.-

3. I did not give my opinion,
as to how the Government should
act because I was not required
to do so and also because I was
under the impression that I should
not venture to do so in a matter
on appeal from an order passed
here and that too by my predecessor.

4. Further information wanted by Government is contained in the enclosed memo of the 3rd Assistant Commissioner. A sketch of the land in question is appended to it.

5. The record of the case is herewith returned.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

[illegible]

Dy. Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

No. 2794 / 6331 8 5 1758

Jam

See this opinion and the opinions

The Secretary of State
for the Department of Foreign Affairs

To

The Commander of Forces
in Calpee

Sir

LR-84-97

I am directed to forward herewith the Memorial of the Merchants & Planters of this Province and also of the Inhabitants of several Districts of the Province of Carolina praying for Relief against the Duties imposed upon Negroes imported into this Province from Africa by an Act passed in the last Session of Assembly entitled "An Act for laying a Duty upon Negroes imported from Africa" and to desire that you will lay the same before the Commander in Chief of His Majesty's Forces in North America for his Opinion thereupon.

I am Sir
Your most obedient
humble Servant

Whitehall
6th March 1758

Jno Cleve

Letter No. 311 of 22 July 58 from the Rt. Hon. Henry Pelham with a Memorial from Merchants & Planters of Carolina against the Duty on Negroes imported from Africa
Rec'd 5 Sept. 58
Read 8 Sept. 58
Ans. 10 Oct. 58

REVENUE
No. 2813
DEWAN'S OFFICE
Ext 185

1898

From

THE CONSERVATOR OF FORESTS
IN MYSORE

No.

THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPTS.

Dated 19th 28th September 1898

Despatched

Received

General No. 1621
No. 185

SUBJECT:

Subsidi's opinion re grant of S. No 52 in Gottipur Village Hoskote Taluk for cultivation and building purposes.

Respectfully.

OFFICE OF THE

CONSERVATOR OF FORESTS IN MYSORE

Rev. Forests.

No. 1621 S. & Ac / 100

Dated Bangalore, 17th Nov. 1899.

From

COLONEL I. CAMPBELL WALKER,

Conservator of Forests in Mysore.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE,

General and Revenue Departments.

SIR,

2 Drafts

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(10)

Read

Petition No. 783 dated 15-1-97, presented by Hanumanthagowda and others of Basavakalli Jodi village in the Hoskote Taluk, appealing against the order of the Dy. Comr. Bangalore Dist. refusing to grant to petrs a portion of S.No. 52 of the neighbouring village of Gottipura, for garden cultivation and building sites.

21.84-98-9

2. Correspondence ending with letter No. 94 dated 22nd July 1898, from the Dy. Comr. Bangalore dist. reporting on the above

Letter No. 1621/100 dated 9th October 1898, from the Conservator of Forests in Mysore, stating that he agrees with the Dy. Comr. in his opinion, that no portion of the S.No. 52 should be given

gue[illegible] for garden culti-
-vation and that the
whole No should be
reserved as usual.

4. The records of the case
are returned herewith. S.No 5283-4 D.27.1.99.
C.C.T.S.T-98/28

(615)

Govt agree with the
concurrent opinion of
the Dy Conr and the
Conservator of Forests
and this appeal is
accordingly rejected with
[illegible]

2. The petr is
informed that S.No 52
will be wholly reserved
and enclosed as
village gomal and will
be conserved as fuel
preserve also by the
villagers themselves
under such arrangements
as may be decided
upon by the Dy Conr
in communication with
the Conservator of Forests.

[illegible]
for Secretary

To,
(1) The Dy Conr, Bangalore
with records
(2) The Conservator of
Forests in Mysore

Rejected to D.C. with records
the Conservator of Forests
28/1/99

25-1-99.

783

(9)

L.R. 84-97